

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,167	10/12/2001	Frederick Paul Benning	ROC920010111US1	1982	
7590 10/11/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
James R. Nock			AHMED, SHAMIM		
IBM Corporation			[		
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			1765		

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\mathcal{J}$		ß			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/976,167	BENNING ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Shamim Ahmed	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	eris later In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	er, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. te: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	and the appropriate exte	ension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS  The state of the st						
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-18,35 and 40-42.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	Ω			

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argument filed 9/26/05 are not persuasive to overcome the rejections. As regards to Ma et al reference, applicants argue that Ma et al do not teach a composition comprises both a surfactant and colloidal particles, whereas Ma et al teach organic polymeric abrasive as colloidal particles with surfactant.

In response, examiner states that the argument is more specific than the claim because the claim is not limited that the colloidal particles cannot be organic abrasives.

Applicants also argue that the intended use of the composition should be given patentable weight without ignoring the claim limitation. In response, examiner states that a recitation directed to the mannar in which a claimed composition is intended to be used does not distinguish the claimed composition from the prior art if the prior art has the capability to perform the same function.

Applicants also argue that Kramer et al do not teach the specific interaction claimed during superfinishing a surface of a disk substrate for use in a data storage device, whereas, Kramer et al's teaching is directed to reduction of surface roughness.

In response to applicant's argument that Kramer et al's surfactant is used for different purpose does not alter the conclusion that its use in a prior art device would be prima facie obvious from the purpose disclosed in the reference. In re Linter, 173 USPQ 560.

Applicants also argue that Hartog et al in view of Kramer et al do not teach the claimed textured surface because Kremer et al relates to reduction of surface roughness.

In response, examiner states that the argument is not persuasive because any polishing with abrasive will leads to a surface with certain amount texturing on the polished surface and furthermore, Kramer et al do not teach the reduction of surface roughness up to a ultra smooth surface without any texture on the surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765